

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,483	01/27/2004	Gun-il Lee	1793.1183	6277
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 11/25/2008 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.		NGUYEN, ALLEN H	
WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/764,483	LEE, GUN-IL					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Allen H. Nguyen	2625					
	10/764,483 Examiner	10/764,483 LEE, GUN-IL Examiner Art Unit				

	Allen H. Nguyen	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 Sign reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi cal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with the filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	idili dile dille period set lordi ili 37	5FR 41.57 (a).	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s). would be all	owable if submitted in a separate, i	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the con		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 6-11.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
16 V D /			
/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625			

Continuation Sheet of 11:

- Applicant's arguments, see page 1, filed 11/13/2008, with respect to U.S.C. 112, First and Second paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 6-11 have been withdrawn.
- 2. With respect to applicant's argument that "As is well known, encryption is the process of transforming plaintext information using an algorithm or cipher to make it unreadable to anyone except those possessing a key. The result of the process is encrypted information that can be sent over a public line. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that just because DeBry discusses the use of a secret key, does not imply at all that the secret key is used in onclinaction with a separate communication line".

In reply. Debry does not explicitly show the communications 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 through the different lines or media. However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Berkema et al. (US 2003/0002072). In particular, Berkema teaches data line and security line are different. One from phone, the other from computer (i.e., a step of the PDA 402 establishing a wireless link 404 with the print device 406, and preferably of the print device 406 acknowledging the PDA 402 over the link 404. Further steps are comprised of the PDA establishing a communications link 412 with the location 414 having the desired print content. The link 412 preferably comprises a long-range wireless communications protocol, with a cellular based radio frequency a preferred example; Page 6, paragraph (DOS), fig. 4).

In view of the above, having the combination system of DeBry and Stodder and then given the well-established teaching of Berkema, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Debry and Stodder as taught by Berkema to include: wherein the security information is transmitted via a security communication line different from a communication line that transmits the document data from the transmitting facsimile machine to the receiving facsimile machine, since Berkema stated on page 1, paragraph [0009] that such a modification would comprise communicating the reference from the portable device to the print device, and from the print device to a print server. The print server then communicates with the network location corresponding to the reference to obtain the print content, which is then communicated to the print device for printing.